

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: validated data for Occidental property (UNCLASSIFIED)  
**Date:** Friday, July 29, 2011 2:46:20 PM

---

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
Caveats: NONE

[REDACTED]  
Your three emails below have been forwarded to the respective teams. As soon as I have responses, I will get back to you. In the future, if you have a request for information regarding the Niagara Falls Storage Site Interim Waste Containment Structure Operable Unit Feasibility Study, please channel it through [REDACTED] so that the requests can be prioritized with the community. Have a great weekend!

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]  
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 11:30 AM

[REDACTED]  
Subject: validated data for Occidental property

[REDACTED]  
Is validated data available for the investigation on the fenced storage area on Occidental? I was interested in reviewing the analytical results. I note the school data and report has already been issued. Would it be possible to post the Occidental data on the USACE web site?

Many Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2011 11:32 AM

[REDACTED]  
Subject: Occidental investigation

[REDACTED]  
As promised, reference the November 2010 public meeting, please find attached documents which refer to DOD waste disposal on properties east of the Lewiston Porter campus. The confirmation of prior disposal activity, during DOD ownership, on an area of the Occidental property adds to the concern that DOD waste disposal in the area has not been fully investigated. The LOOW Historical Aerial Photo Analysis, January 2003, Slide 24, records the presence of two buildings, opposite the fenced and gated disposal area, suggesting a degree of organization and planning on the part of DOD. Note, these buildings appeared during DOD ownership and were not present in 1942, but there is no record of the buildings being constructed as part of the LOOW.

The interview with the former owner of the [REDACTED], who recollected underground bunkers used for detonation purposes, east of what is now the [REDACTED], may provide further clues to the extent of DOD

waste disposal operations in this general area.

Given the proximity to the school campus and the wet conditions, (standing water is frequently encountered in the area) there is the potential for contamination to migrate in surface water.

[REDACTED]

PS: I have included slide 49, taken from the NFSS Historical Photographic Analysis (Sep 2009) since the slide has omitted the two buildings adjacent to the DOD disposal site. Is this an oversight?

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 2:31 PM

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: question concerning additional surface soil samples analyzed for plutonium...

[REDACTED]

Thank you for the information concerning the 17 samples inadvertently missed from the RI database. I'm currently trying to put plutonium analyses on the NFSS in chronological order. The RI Addendum refers to "limited direct sampling for Pu-239/240 during Phase I and II of the RI" ; could you tell me which samples were analyzed for plutonium in Phase I and II of the RI? I seem unable to find any.

With respect to pumping and evaluating Modern's impact on the LWBZ groundwater and the NFSS, has the Corps conducted an independent evaluation ?

[REDACTED]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE